

The Endometrial Thickness in Infertile Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome

Yusra Abdulmahdi Hussein (MBChB, D.O.G) 1 and Raid Khudhair Ali (MBChB, B-D.P) 2

Abstract

Background: The polycystic ovarian syndrome is a common cause of infertility and responsible for many changes in endocrine and metabolic activities with a possible role in changing the endometrial thickness.

Objective: To evaluate the effect of endometrial thickness in infertile polycystic ovarian syndrome women on ovulation outcome.

Patients and Methods: A case control study was carried out in Gynecology Consultancy Clinic of Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital in Diyala province through the period from 1st of December 2016 to 30th of November 2017. The study sample was 40 infertile women with polycystic ovarian syndrome on program of ovulation induction and 40 healthy control women. Endometrial thickness was assessed by transvaginal ultrasonography. The ovulation was assessed regularly for each cycle and each woman was followed up for 6 month.

Results: The means of endometrial thickness for infertile women with polycystic ovarian syndrome at different periods of menstrual cycle were significantly increased in comparison to controls (p<0.001). There was a highly significant difference in means of endometrial thickness between ovulated and not ovulated infertile polycystic ovarian syndrome women at different menstrual cycle periods (p<0.001).

Conclusion: The endometrial thickness of infertile polycystic ovarian syndrome women is clearly higher than healthy controls with a prominent role of endometrial thickness in ovulation among infertile women with polycystic ovarian syndrome.

Key words: Infertility, Polycystic ovarian syndrome, Endometrial thickness, Ovulation.

Corresponding Author: raaed ali2002@yahoo.com

Received: 15th April 2018 Accepted: 24th June 2018 https://doi.org/10.26505/DJM

¹Specialist in Gynecology & Obstetrics-Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital - Diyala -Iraq.

² Al-Batool Teaching Hospital- Diyala -Iraq.

Introduction

The endometrial thickness is changeable for premenopausal women during the menstrual cycle in range of 3-15 mm.1 The polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) is an endocrinal disease affecting 5-10% of women at reproductive age characterized by hyperandrogenism and ovarian dysfunction[2]. The insulin resistance is

thought to be as the main etiological factor for PCOS in combination with multiplicity of risk factors. In addition to infertility, the PCOS lead to many clinical hazards such as non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, hypertension, abnormal lipid profile, heart disease and cerebrovascular disease[3].



The endometrial thickness (EMT) is the proliferation results of abnormal of endometrium contents and ranges from atypia to neoplasia that is detected by biopsy.4 The polycystic ovarian syndrome endocrinal and metabolic changes are related to the endometrial changes and endometrial diseases.5 The endometrial thickness could be assessed by transvaginal ultrasonography (TVU). Long duration exposure to the estrogen hormone associated with chronic anovulation among **PCOS** women accompanied by prolonged endometrial expression androgen receptor that stimulated by estrogen and inhibited by progesterone are commonly responsible for endometrial thickness.6 Many authors regarded the endometrial parameters like endometrial status, endometrial blood supply and endometrial thickness as predictors for primary infertility.7, 8 Increased endometrial thickness is correlated with lower in vitro fertilization (IVF) success rates[9].

endometrial receptivity the cornerstone in planning for successful fertilization programs for infertile women.10 Ovulation induction strategies depending on drugs alone are not enough to acquire better gestational rates in primary infertility.11 Low success rates of IVF among women with PCOS are reported although high quality of transferred embryos, however, the poor endometrial receptivity attributed to EMT may be the common acceptable reason. This suggests that anovulation is not the only cause of infertility[4, 7, 12]. In Iraq, there is a sustainable increase in prevalence of PCOS

among younger age women 13 and about one third of premenopausal women attending in vitro fertilization centers are diagnosed with PCOS 14 with scarcity of national literatures discussion the endometrial thickness in PCOS infertile women. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of endometrial thickness in infertile PCOS women on ovulation outcome.

Patients and Methods

A case control study that was carried out in Gynecology Consultancy Clinic of Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital in Al-Muqdadia District (which is a district of Divala Health Directorate) through the period from 1st of December 2016 to 30th of November 2017. All infertile women attending the Gynecology Consultancy Clinic were the study population. Inclusion criteria were premenopausal age women (20-40 years) with confirmed diagnosis of primary infertility and polycystic ovarian syndrome. The exclusion criteria were secondary infertility, endometrial polyps, uterine anomalies and previous tubal or ovarian surgery. A sample of 40 infertile women with PCOS were selected from infertile women attending to Consultancy clinic and recruited in program of ovulation induction by clomephin citrate 50-150 on day two then after conformation of ovulation by ultrasound given ovetrill or pregnel. The forty healthy controls were selected from women attending Gynecology Consultancy Clinic with regular cycles and no ultrasonographic findings of PCOS. An informed oral consent was taken from each woman before enrolling in the



study and an ethical agreement was taken from administration of the hospital in addition to treatment maintenance and consultation for selected women by the researcher.

Diagnosis of primary infertility with PCOS was done by the researcher in Consultancy clinic. All participants received full history and examination. The PCOS group was diagnosed according to Rotterdam criteria. A venous blood sample of 5 ml was obtained from study participants at room temperature and then centrifuged. Serum was -20 C°. collected and stored at Oligomenorrhea was defined as eight cycles or more in one year menstrual interval 35 days. The body mass index was classified according to world health organization classification; Normal BMI is <25(Kg/m²), overweight 25-29.9(Kg/m²) and obese \geq 30 (Kg/m²). The endometrial thickness was measured by transvaginal ultrasonography (TVU) at three steps (2-3, 13-14 and 21-22 days) to check the difference and then all women were given leutinizing hormone kit (5 strips) and checking ovulation and midluteal phase in cooperation with Gynecologist in the Infertility center. TVU was done by Specialist in Radiology in Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital using a 6.5 MHZ transducer, Siemens SL1 and SD 250

system (Munich, Germany). The procedure wholly explained to the patient in waiting room for at least 15 minutes before scanned completely with empty bladder. Serum progesterone test (mid luteal) for study participants was done in laboratory of Al-Muqdadia General Hospital. The ovulation is defined as progesterone level >30 ng/ml and no ovulation as ≤30 ng/ml. The ovulation was assessed regularly for each cycle and each woman was followed up for 6 month.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS software version 22. On analysis, the independent t-test and Fischer's exact test were used for statistical analysis as appropriate. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Studying baseline characteristics of both study groups are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were observed between the two study groups for age categories (p=0.3). Body mass index was significantly different between study groups; infertile PCOS women were significantly associated with obesity (p=0.02). There was a significant association between oligomenorrhea and infertile PCOS women (p<0.001).



Table (1): Baseline characteristics of infertile PCOS cases and healthy controls (n=40, for each group).

Variable	Infertile PCOS	Control	P value
	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Age	<u> </u>		0.3*
20-29 years	16 (40.0)	20 (50.0)	OR=0.6
30-40 years	24 (60.0)	20 (50.0)	OK=0.0
BMI	-"		{CI=0.2-
Normal	11 (27.5)	10 (25.0)	0.02**
Overweight	12 (30.0)	23 (57.5)	
Obese	17 (42.5)	7 (17.5)	
Menstrual cycle			<0.001**
Normal	7 (17.5)	40 (100.0)	1
Oligomemorrhea	33 (82.5)	0 (-)	

^{*}Not significant, ** Significant

The mean of EMT at 2-3 days of menstrual cycle for infertile PCOS women was (2.6 mm) which was significantly higher than mean EMT of (1.07 mm) for controls (p<0.001). At 13-14 cycle days, the mean EMT of infertile PCOS women (13.1 mm) was significantly higher than mean EMT of

controls (11.2 mm) (p<0.001). The mean of EMT at 21-22 days of menstrual cycle for infertile PCOS women was (16.6 mm) which was significantly higher than mean EMT of (13.9 mm) for controls (p<0.001), as shown in table (2).

Table (2): The endometrial thickness for infertile cases and healthy controls at different menstrual cycle periods (n=40, for each group).

Variable	Infertile PCOS Mean ± SD	Control Mean ± SD	P value
Endometrial thickness at 2-3 days (mm)	2.6±1.3	1.07±0.3	<0.001*
Endometrial thickness at 13-14 days (mm)	13.1±1.9	11.2±1.1	<0.001*
Endometrial thickness at 21-22 days (mm)	16.6±1.9	13.9±1.3	<0.001*

The ovulation was demonstrated among 33 (82.5%) infertile PCOS women while 97.5% of controls had ovulation with significant

difference between two study groups (p=0.02) table (3).



Table (3): Ovulation assessment of for infertile cases and healthy controls (n=40, for each group).

Variable	Infertile PCOS	Control	P value
	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Progesterone level			0.02**
>30 ng/ml	33 (82.5%)	39 (97.5)	OR=0.12
≤30 ng/ml	7 (17.5)	1 (2.5)	{CI=0.1-

^{*}Not significant, ** Significant

Regarding the ovulation of infertile PCOS women, the EMT of ovulated women at three menstrual cycle periods (at 2-3 days, 13-14 days and 21-22 days) were 2.3 mm, 12.6 mm and 16.1 mm, respectively, while the EMT of non-ovulated women at three

menstrual cycle periods (at 2-3 days, 13-14 days and 21-22 days) were 4 mm, 15.5 mm and 18.7 mm, respectively, there was a highly significant association between increased EMT and no ovulation of infertile PCOS women at different menstrual cycle periods (p<0.001) (Table 4).

Table (4): The endometrial thickness for infertile PCOS cases according to ovulation success (ovulation no=33, no ovulation no=7).

Endometrial thickness	Ovulation	No ovulation	P value
	Mean ±SD	Mean±SD	
Endometrial thickness at 2-3 days (mm)	2.3±1.2	4±0.8	<0.001*
Endometrial thickness at 13-14 days (mm)	12.6±1.7	15.5±0.9	<0.001*
Endometrial thickness at 21-22 days (mm)	16.1±1.7	18.7±0.9	<0.001*

^{*} Significant

Discussion

Ovulation induction and in vitro fertilization success rates are dependable commonly on receptivity of uterus and quality of embryo. Nowadays, the assisted reproductive technology (ART) concentrated availability of endometrial on receptivity[15]. In present study, significant increase in endometrial thickness of infertile PCOS women at three times of menstrual cycles by TVU examinations was observed in comparison to controls (p<0.001). This finding is consistent with results of Park et al 16 study in South Korea

which reported that endometrial thickening among PCOS women is predominated. Another study in Pakistan by Usmani et al 17 found a significant increase in endometrial thickness among older infertile women with PCOS. Among **PCOS** women with prolonged unopposed estrogen, hyperinsulinemia, elevated free insulin growth factor-1 and androgens may further the mitogenic activity augment endometrial cells, leading to acceleration of hyperplasia and perhaps transformation to cancer.18 In Iraq, previous study carried out



by Eleawi et al 19 revealed that PCOS represented more than one forth of infertility causes and was associated with many endocrine and metabolic changes that affect the ovulation and uterine receptivity.

Current study showed a significant difference in endometrial thickness between ovulated and non-ovulated infertile PCOS women (p<0.001). This is similar to results of Liu et al 20 study in China which found that endometrial thickness of less than 7mm is needed for appropriate ovulation among infertile women. Current study showed that endometrial thickness in PCOS women need to be reduced for appropriate ovulation. Our study findings are inconsistent with results of Sharma et al 21 study in India that found higher pregnancy rates among women with higher endometrial thickness. This inconsistency is due to fact that our study included PCOS women and not normal healthy women like Indian study. The excessive increase in endometrial thickness among PCOS women is proved to be an obstacle for appropriate ovulation.17 Zhao et al 15 study in China reported that in women with no PCOS, the pregnancy rate was increased among women with moderate endometrial thickness (≥14 mm) while Boys et al 22 study in Australia in their systematic review stated that extreme endometrial thickness may have a negative effect on success rates of in vitro fertilization. Despite the findings of many studies regarding the predictive effect of endometrial thickness and successful outcomes of in ovulation induction, there is still suspicion on accuracy

of endometrial ultrasound. Many authors had shown a direct relationship between endometrial thickness or a certain type of echogenic pattern and uterine receptivity23-25.

Obesity among infertile PCOS women in current study was significantly higher than controls (p=0.004). This is similar to results of Mahmoud et al 26 study in Saudi Arabia which documented that obese PCOS women had significantly signs of hyperandrogenism and acanthosis negricans more than nonobese PCOS women. In our study, oligomenorrhea was significantly prevalent among infertile PCOS women than controls (p<0.001). This is consistent with results of Hussein and Alalaf study in Iraq 14 which found the oligomenorrhea as common symptom among infertile women with PCOS. The comparison with healthy controls and adaption of specific Rotterdam criteria for PCOS with single cause of infertility increased the power of current study. However, our study had many limitations like absence of temporal relationship because and debate regarding of its design endometrial thickness effect on ovulation with absence of standard cutoff values for EMT measurements affecting our study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, endometrial thickness of infertile polycystic ovarian syndrome women is clearly higher than healthy controls with a prominent role of endometrial thickness in ovulation among infertile women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Encouraging use of transvaginal ultrasonography routinely



for women with primary infertility should be recommended to assess the endometrial thickness.

Acknowledgment

Special thanks for all Specialists working in Radiology department of Al-Muqdadia General Hospital for their cooperation and support.

References

[1] Alcazar JL, Galvan R. Three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasoundscanning for the prediction of endometrial cancer in women with postmenopausal bleeding and thickened endometrium. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2009; 200:44.e1–6.

[2] Azziz R, Carmina E, Dewailly D, Janssen OE, Futteweight W, Taylor AE, et al. The Androgen Excess and PCOS Society criteria for the polycystic ovary syndrome: the complete task force report. Fertil Steril 2009; 91(2):456–488.

[3]Azziz R, Woods KS, Reyna R, Key TJ, Knochenhauer ES, YildizBO. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary syndrome in an unselected population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89(6): 2745–2749.

[4] Al-Jefout M, Al-Qtaitat A, Al-Rahal D, Al-Nawaiseh N, Rawashdeh F. Endometrial Thickness as a Predictor of Endometrial Hyperplasia in Infertile Patients with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. OJOG 2018; 8: 92-104. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4236/ojog.2018.82012.

[5] Gliudice LC. Endometrium in PCOS: implantation and predisposition to endocrine

CA. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006; 20:235-244.

[6]Iatrakis G, Tsionis C, Adonakis G, Stoikidou M, Anthouli-Anagnostopoulou F, et al. Polycystic ovarian syndrome, insulin resistance and thickness of the endometrium. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2006; 127:218-221.

[7] Lopes IM, Baracat MC, Simões Mde J, Simões RS, Baracat EC, Soares JM Jr. Endometrium in women with polycystic ovary syndrome during the window of implantation. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2011; 57(6):702-709.

[8]Wang L, Qiao J, Li R, Zhen X, Liu Z. Role of endometrial blood flow assessment with color Doppler energy in predicting pregnancy outcome of IVF-ET cycles. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2010; 8:122.

[9]El-Toukhy T, Coomarasamy A, Khairy M, Sunkara K, Seed P, Khalaf Y, et al. The relationship between endometrial thickness and outcome of medicated frozen embryo replacement cycles. Fertil Steril 2008; 4:832–839.

[10]Hardiman P, Pillay OS, Atiomo W. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome and Endometrial Carcinoma. The Lancet 2003; 361: 1810-1812.

[11]Cheung AP. Ultrasound and Menstrual History in Predicting Endometrial Hyperplasia in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Obstetrics & Gynecology 2001; 98: 325-331. [12]Lin SL, Tu BB, Du XG, Yan LY, Qiao J. Lower Expression of ER-α36 Is Associated with the Development of Endometrial



Hyperplasia in PCOS Patients. Histol Histopathol 2013; 28 (11): 1491-1498.

[13]Khazaal FK, Liebi AH, Mahmoud IJ. Prevalence and Presenting Features of Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome in Iraqi Obese Females. Iraqi Journal of Embryo and Infertility Researches 2014; 4 (2): 14-18.

[14] Hussein B, Alalaf S. Prevalence and characteristics of polycystic ovarian syndrome in a sample of infertile Kurdish women attending IVF infertility center in maternity teaching hospital of Erbil City. Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 2013; 3: 577-585.

[15] Zhao J, Zhang Q, Li Y. The effect of endometrial thickness and pattern measured by ultrasonography on pregnancy outcomes during IVF-ET cycles. RB & E 2012; 10:100.

[16]Park JC, Lim SY, Jang TK, Bae JG, Kim JI, Rhee JH. Endometrial histology and predictable clinical factors for endometrial disease in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. CERM 2011; 38(1):42-46.

[17]Usmani A, Saeed M, Islam Z, Rehman R. Association of Polycystic Ovaries in Primary Infertile Women with Ovarian and Uterine Morphology. JRMC 2014; 18(1):122-125.

[18] Iatrakis G, Tsionis C, Adonakis G, Stoikidou M, Anthouli-Anagnostopoulou F, Parava M, et al. Polycystic ovarian syndrome, insulin resistance and thickness of the endometrium. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2006; 127:218–221.

[19]Eleawi HR, Abdul-Karim ET, AL- Salihi AR. Study of Occurrence of Polycystic

Ovarian Syndrome among Infertile Women. The Iraqi Postgraduate Medical Journal 2015; 14 (3): 329-336.

[20]Liu HM, Xing FQ, Chen SL, Li H. Predictive value of endometrial ultrasonography and age for the outcome of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. Di Yi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao 2005; 25(5):570-572.

[21] Sharma R, Rao K, Srinivas MS, Jones T. Is endometrial thickness on the day of ET really predictive of IVF outcome? International Journal of Infertility and Fetal Medicine 2012; 3 (2): 40-47.

[22]Boys E, Chapman M. Review: The Influence of Endometrial Thickness on IVF Outcomes. J Fert In Vitro 2012; 2:107.

[23]McWilliams GD, Frattarelli JL. Changes in measured endometrial thickness predict in vitro fertilization success. Fertil Steril 2007; 88:74-81.

[24]Amir W, Micha B, Ariel H, Liat LG, Jehoshua D, Adrian S. Predicting factors for endometrial thickness during treatment with assisted reproductive technology. Fertil Steril 2007; 87:799–804.

[25]Richter KS, Bugge KR, Bromer JG, Levy MJ. Relationship between endometrial thickness and embryo implantation, based on 1,294 cycles of in vitro fertilization with transfer of two blastocyst-stage embryos. Fertil Steril 2007; 87:53–59.

[26] 26. Mahmoud MI, Habeeb F, Kasim K. Reproductive and biochemical changes in obese and non obese polycystic ovary syndrome women. AJM 2015; 51: 5-9.